



3652

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: Henry M. Hund, Jr.)
Serial No.: 09/975,759) Art Unit: 3652
Filed: October 11, 2001) Examiner: Charles A. Fox
For: Mechanism for Dumping A)
Refuse Container)

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

September 11, 2003

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2003
GROUP 3600

Sir:

Enclosed is an Amendment/Response to Office Action in connection with the application identified above and a postcard receipt addressed to Applicant's attorney. Please file the Response, mark the postcard with the date of receipt and return it to the undersigned attorney. Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

David J. Hill
(Registration No. 28,427)
Attorney for Applicant
Chambliss, Bahner & Stophel, P.C.
1000 Tallan Building
Two Union Square
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2500
(423) 756-3000

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed, with postage prepaid, to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on September 11, 2003.

Donna Guy
Date of signature: September 11, 2003



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

9/13
P. W. Allen
09/24/03

In Re Application of:	Henry M. Hund, Jr.)	
)	
For:	Mechanism For Dumping A)	
	Refuse Container)	Art Unit: 3652
)	
Serial No.:	09/975,759)	Examiner: Charles A. Fox
)	
Filed:	October 11, 2001)	

AMENDMENT/RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

September 11, 2003

Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2003
GROUP 3600

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed on July 8, 2003. The Abstract has been objected to for excessive length. Claims 1-3 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,401,407 of Breckenridge in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,975,016 of Pellenc et al. Claims 4-6 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,401,407 of Breckenridge in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,975,016 of Pellenc et al., and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,026,104 of Pickrell. Claims 7-17 have been allowed. Applicant has now amended the Abstract to reduce its length, and he has amended the specification in other places to make clear that the terms "hydraulic actuators", "actuators", "hydraulic cylinders" and "cylinders", all of which were used in the specification as filed, are equivalent terms. Applicant has also amended claim 1 and (by dependency therefrom) claims 2-6 in order to more particularly point out and distinctly claim his invention and to distinguish it from the cited references.

Applicant offers the following remarks to address the rejections of his claims and respectfully requests reconsideration of the application in view of such amendments and remarks. Since no claims are being added to the application beyond the number previously paid for, the filing of this Amendment/Response does not require the payment of a fee for additional claims.